

# **S**tvm

## TVM & THE APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION

MARKUS WEIMER MEMBER, APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION

ARCHITECT, MICROSOFT ML PLATFORM



# **S**tvm

## TVM & THE APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION Why I am

here

MARKUS WEIMER MEMBER, APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION

ARCHITECT, MICROSOFT ML PLATFORM



# **S**tvm

## TVM & THE APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION Why I am

MARKUS WEIMER MEMBER, APACHE SOFTWARE FOUNDATION

ARCHITECT, MICROSOFT ML PLATFORM

Pays me to be here

here

## MARKUS WHO?

- Newcomer to TVM 5
- ML researcher and developer, worked on
  - CofiRank
  - Data Parallel SGD
  - Apache REEF
  - ML.NET
- Member of the Apache Software Foundation
  - Inaugural PMC Chair for REEF
  - Mentor for mxnet, HiveMall, Nemo





Here to help the TVM community get into The Apache Software Foundation











#### Open.

The Apache Software Foundation provides support for the Apache Community of open-source software projects, which provide software products for the public good.

#### Innovation.

The Apache projects are defined

by collaborative consensus based processes, an open, pragmatic software license and a desire to create high quality software that leads the way in its field.

#### Community.

We consider ourselves

not simply a group of projects sharing a server, but rather a community of developers and users.

### HISTORY: APACHE HTTPD

Admins trading patches for NCSA webserver First release of httpd

 Non-profit, membership based corporation
 Protects contributor and intellectual property

Most widely deployed webserver for 19 years [1]

[1] http://news.netcraft.com/archives/2016/04/21/april-2016-web-server-survey.html

### WHAT IS THE ASF? -- A NON-PROFIT COOPERATION



Source for the numbers: http://www.infoworld.com/article/3079813/open-source-tools/the-apache-foundations-incredible-rise.html

### WHAT IS THE ASF? -- A NON-PROFIT COOPERATION



Source for the numbers: http://www.infoworld.com/article/3079813/open-source-tools/the-apache-foundations-incredible-rise.html

## PROJECT LIFECYCLE



- Project retirement stage
- Attic
- Code still available, may be "complete", but community has moved on









### CORE PRINCIPLES

- Collaborative software development
- Respectful, honest, technical-based interaction
- Consistently high quality software
- Commercial-friendly standard license
- Faithful implementation of standards
- Security as a mandatory feature

### CORE PRINCIPLES

- Collaborative software development
- Respectful, honest, technical-based interaction
- Consistently high quality software
- Commercial-friendly standard license
- Faithful implementation of standards
- Security as a mandatory feature

### CORE PRINCIPLES

Collaborative software development

- Community over code

- Respectful, honest, technical-based interaction
- Consistently high quality software
- Commercial-friendly standard license
- Faithful implementation of standards
- Security as a mandatory feature

MERITOCRACY AND ROLES



#### MERITOCRACY AND ROLES

## Be easy to work with

Don't be a sycophant Disagree respectfully Focus on the technical details

Implied, occasionall y explicit aversion to "leaders"

Roles are responsibilities; authority necessary to perform function

e.g., releases are lock-free; a struggling RM can (should) be preempted

Leads rotate, authority partitioned to subdomains

### AUTHORITY IN APACHE

#### **Explicit consensus**

- Releases, new committers / PMCs, rules changes, ...
- [DISCUSS],
   [VOTE], [VOTE]
   [RESULT] email
   threads

#### Implicit consensus

- Code changes, documentation, ...
- Given by absence of veto

#### **Veto powers**

- Every committer has veto powers against code changes based on technical reasons
- Pro tip: never argue whether the reasons are technical

### CONSENSUS DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT

## VOTING

- +1: I want this to happen
- +0: Meh
- -0: Ewh, really?
- -1: No way. Veto (where possible)

- Binding: The vote counts
  - E.g. PMC votes on release (majority vote)
- Non-binding
  - E.g. User votes in a release

Voting should be relatively rare. The goal is that the project proceeds by **consensus**, and its members negotiate outcomes with one another. The votes (+1, -1, ...) are sometimes used in discussions to express opinions outside of a [VOTE] thread.





### As public as possible:

user@ for usage questions
 dev@ for development discussion
 private@ for personal and legal matters

## Easy to search and archive

E-Mails follow <u>RFC 3676</u> Plain text, Markdown where needed Quoting with `>`

## COMMUNICATIONS

# "If it didn't happen on the mailing list,

# it didn't happen"



"If it isn't on the mailing list, it didn't happen"

"If it isn't on the mailing list, it didn't happen"

#### The bad:

- Higher latency per issue: Discussing things over email takes longer
- This can be trained and gets get better over time.

"If it isn't on the mailing list, it didn't happen"

#### The bad:

- Higher latency per issue: Discussing things over email takes longer
- This can be trained and gets get better over time.

#### The good:

- Full visibility, no need for meetings to "bring everybody on the same page"
- Documents the decision process, not just the outcome
- Every developer (even the shy ones) have equal influence.

"If it isn't on the mailing list, it didn't happen"

#### The bad:

- Higher latency per issue: Discussing things over email takes longer
- This can be trained and gets get better over time.

#### The good:

- Full visibility, no need for meetings to "bring everybody on the same page"
- Documents the decision process, not just the outcome
- Every developer (even the shy ones) have equal influence.

#### The phenomenal:

- Quality goes up (code as well as discussion becomes part of your CV)
- Throughput goes up (less blockage, uncertainty)









## PROCESS HISTORY

- As communities form, they make their own rules
- In practice, people copy / merge the rules from past projects
- REEF copied from Spark and Hadoop, which in turn spawned from Lucene



#### Commit then review (CTR)

- Every committer does the code changes they see fit.
- Other committers review and undo changes.
- Stabilization happens during release.
- Messy commit log

#### Commit then review (CTR)

- Every committer does the code changes they see fit.
- Other committers review and undo changes.
- Stabilization happens during release.
- Messy commit log

#### Review then commit (RTC)

- Every change is reviewed by another committer.
- Every change is merged by another committer.
- Always releasable software
- Extremely clean commit log
- Used by every Big Data project in Apache

C:\s\reef [master]> git log --oneline

b5c807a [REEF-1373] Convert IClock to an interface 6b67524 [REEF-1420] Dispose IGroupCommClient/Network Service from IMRU tasks af8b908 [REEF-1369] Remove obsolete RuntimeClock.RegisterObserver f2b9b84 [REEF-1421] Transport Client inner thread is not canceled when the object is disposed 1a2f120 [REEF-1410] Validate Task constructor failure => FailedTask Event dbd628a [REEF-1414] Condition to EvaluatorExitLogger is inverted for RuntimeStop 414d4b4 [REEF-1392] Adding IObserver<ICloseEvent> for IMRU tasks b564736 [REEF-1345] Define IMRU task exceptions d5a671b [REEF-1403] Deadlock between ContextRuntime.StartTask and HeartBeatManager.OnNext(Alarm) f5ae659 [REEF-1306] Remove OnDriverReconnect from parameter in DriverConfiguration bf5caab [REEF-1396] Fix testFailureRestart to validate that the restarted Evaluators are received 4c0207e [REEF-1409] Upgrade HDP2.4 docker image to use 2.4.2 repository 947b18e [REEF-1398] Update version to 0.16.0-SNAPSHOT b495935 [REEF-1400] Update update website.pv script to include pom.xml file

## JIRAS, CODE REVIEWS AND COMMITS

#### Design discussions happen on JIRA (yay!)

- People are comfortable and encourage many open JIRAs
- Example [MapReduce-279] (YARN) was open for 3.5 years.
- Often, the committer closes issue (2<sup>nd</sup> best option)
- Code reviews happen on GitHub
- Commit messages link back to both.
  - Committer who does the merge also rebases to current `master`
  - Commits are squashed to create a linear commit history
  - "True history" is preserved on GitHub

## COMMIT MESSAGES

	Valid	Markdown
--	-------	----------

#### Link to JIRA

- Link to Pull Request
- Useful one-line summar

[REEF-1410] Validate Task constructor failure => FailedTask Event
This addressed the issue by * Fixing heartbeat failure if task fails to start. * Adding a test to verify Task failure message.
<pre>JIRA:    [REEF-1410](https://issues.apache.org/jira/browse/ REEF-1410)</pre>
Pull Request: This closes #1019



RELEASE MANAGER CREATES A BRANCH, BEGS FOR HELP MAJORITY OF PMC VOTES, BECOMES THE NEXT RELEASE (FOUNDATION RULE) VERSION ASSIGNED DURING THE VOTE











### NEXT STEPS



WRITE A PROPOSAL

FORM AN INITIAL PMC AND COMMITTER GROUP SEND THE PROPOSAL TO THE APACHE INCUBATOR

## THANKS FOR YOUR TIME!

- weimer@apache.org
- Markus.Weimer@Microsoft.com
- @markusweimer
- http://markusweimer.com



## RESOURCES

- https://www.apache.org/foundation/how-it-works.html
- https://wiki.apache.org/hadoop/HowToContribute
- https://wiki.apache.org/hadoop/HowToCommit
- <u>http://www.catb.org/~esr/faqs/smart-questions.html</u>
- <u>https://issues.apache.org/jira/browse/HADOOP</u> (YARN/MAPREDUCE/HDFS/...)
- <u>http://producingoss.com/</u> (Karl Fogel, Subversion)
- http://www.infoworld.com/article/3079813/open-source-tools/the-apache-foundations-incredible-rise.html